EDUC 561: Reading Instruction in the Elementary Classroom  
Winter, 2024

Class Dates: Wednesdays, January 8 – February 9, 2024

Last Day to Add/Drop/Swap: Due to the non-standard dates associated with this program, please check your Student Centre for the important dates pertaining to your section.

Prerequisite: Due to the multiple pathways in the Bachelor of Education, please consult Undergraduate Programs in Education for questions related to prerequisite courses.

Office Hours: By appointment

Email: Students are required to use a University of Calgary (@ucalgary.ca) email address for all correspondence.

COURSE DESCRIPTION:
This course focuses on supporting elementary-aged children in their reading development. Students will explore the foundations of learning to read and promising practices for engaging students in ways that develop their competence and joy in the act of reading.

LEARNER OUTCOMES:
Students will examine the multiple instructional threads that can play a facilitative role in reading development, such as:

- Motivation and responsiveness to learners;
- Oral language and high-quality dialogue;
- Phonemic awareness, phonics, fluency, vocabulary, and comprehension instruction;
- Assessment, grouping, and small group instruction.

In addition, students will have the chance to explore and/or enact foundational instructional practices such as facilitating text discussion and designing word work.

COURSE DESIGN AND DELIVERY:
This is an exciting and demanding course, with weekly synchronous Zoom sessions. Each week is designed to give you in-depth opportunity to explore ideas around the teaching of reading to elementary-aged students. In addition to your class sessions with me, you will be assigned a studio group. You are asked to make arrangements to meet three times (1.5-2 hours each time) with your studio group. These three meetings should take place between January 17 (post-class) and January 31.

Each week, you are asked to complete readings and complete a structured reflection task that is based on engagement with the readings and course ideas. You will be building on these structured reflection tasks to complete in-class work and/or studio group work. Your structured reflection journal will form Learning Task #2. Note that you are asked to keep and turn in an attendance log for this learning task, so please keep track!

You will collaborate regularly with peers in the class to complete assignments and to think together. If you have serious concerns about being grouped with any specific individuals in the class, please contact me confidentially before the start of classes to let me know.
**REQUIRED RESOURCES:**


[Amazon; Kobo; Google Play; ebooks.com; iBooks](https://www.readbrightly.com/)

**PICTUREBOOK LISTS AND RESOURCES:**

- Compilation of award-winning children’s literature lists

- TD Canadian Children’s Literature Award winners
  [https://bookcentre.ca/td-canadian-childrens-literature-award](https://bookcentre.ca/td-canadian-childrens-literature-award)

- Books with strong girl characters
  [https://www.amightygirl.com/](https://www.amightygirl.com/)

- Recommendations for parents (but great for teachers, too)
  [https://www.readbrightly.com/](https://www.readbrightly.com/)

- There is a curated list of Indigenous literatures that scholars here at the University of Calgary have put together.
  [https://werklund.ucalgary.ca/teaching-learning/indigenous-literatures-learning](https://werklund.ucalgary.ca/teaching-learning/indigenous-literatures-learning)

- Debbie Reese’s curated list of high-quality Indigenous literature (based out of US); compiled award-winning literature. Whole website has some great resources.

- Not as well-curated, but with lots of options and lists of different sorts
  [https://www.goodreads.com/genres/picture-books](https://www.goodreads.com/genres/picture-books)

---

**LEARNING TASKS OVERVIEW**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LEARNING TASK</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION OF LEARNING TASK</th>
<th>GROUP / INDIVIDUAL</th>
<th>WEIGHT</th>
<th>DUE DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Discussion Analysis Project</strong></td>
<td>Each person facilitates and records a dialogic read-aloud about a picturebook. The group together analyzes and compares the discussions in terms of tenor and facilitation strategies, using the readings as a guide. See full assignment description for further details.</td>
<td>Group</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>Feb 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Structured Portfolio</strong></td>
<td>Each week there will be a reflective and/or pedagogical task for you to complete before the class session. You do not need to turn these in each week. Instead, you will compile and submit them at the end of the semester, along with a self-assessment and attendance log. See full assignment description for further details.</td>
<td>Individual</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>February 9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## WEEKLY COURSE SCHEDULE:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Readings</th>
<th>Tasks and Due Dates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Week 1:    | Meeting learners where they are as they read | Compton-Lilly, C., Spence, L.K., Thomas, P.L. and Decker, S.L. (2023), Stories grounded in decades of research: What we truly know about the teaching of reading. *The Reading Teacher.*  
**Multimedia:**  
This video, though about science, is important for thinking about children’s literacy as well. How does this video help you think about literacy instruction for James and other children?  
Exploring NGSS in the classroom: James uses creativity and imagination in sensemaking.  
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=iN8xbyFZk0w | **Studio Group:** Set up meeting times with your studio group. You should arrange 3 out-of-class meetings between 1/17 (post-class) and 1/31. Each meeting should be 1.5-2 hours in length. (Note: The first two independent meetings will be given over to having you each facilitate discussion of a text, then discuss and reflect on that facilitation. The last meeting will be used to discuss and plan for the collaborative written assignment.)  
**Portfolio Task 1:**  
a. *Meeting children where they are as readers sketch/visual.* In light of this week’s readings/video, come up with a drawing or visual of your own that you believe encapsulates teaching reading in a way that meets children where they are. Your sketch could be more metaphorical, theoretical, or practical – as you wish! Be creative: do not simply replicate any of the visual materials in the readings.  
b. *Explanation of sketch/visual.* Explain and elaborate on your drawing/visual in two paragraphs. Make sure you refer explicitly to each of the 3 readings in some substantive way. |
Aukerman, M. (n.d.) Dialogic Pedagogy and Discourse Patterns (unpublished handout by instructor, posted on d2l).  
**Multimedia:**  
“Picture Book Philosophy” video [https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=x5wuHRYHez0](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=x5wuHRYHez0)  
**Additional resources**  
Website on children’s books for philosophical discussions. (Please do not use texts on this site for your text discussion unless you have substantively different questions.) [https://www.prindleinstitute.org/teaching-children-philosophy/](https://www.prindleinstitute.org/teaching-children-philosophy/) | **Independent Studio Group Meetings:** Check d2l for studio group instructions. Make sure you complete your portfolio task before your first studio group meeting. If the studio group members who are facilitating discussion want you to read their text in advance, please make sure you have done so before you meet.  
**Portfolio Task 2:**  
a. **Applying Dialogic Pedagogy Values.** Pick two of the 4 dialogic pedagogy values. Explain how they are manifest in the instruction in the “Wiggle Room” reading and the “Picture Book Philosophy” video.  
b. **Plan a picturebook discussion.** Pick a children’s book you could use to facilitate discussion. Write a series of 5 authentic questions you could ask about that book that would facilitate meaningful engagement and discussion. Make sure they are questions you could also ask of students! Include text-intensive and text-expansive questions, and mark which are which. Bring your book and questions to your group meeting and be prepared to lead a 15-minute discussion with others about your book that uses both authentic questions and uptake. Make sure you record when you facilitate the discussion with your group. |
| Week 3: Jan. 24 | **Emergent literacy and word study** | **Studio Group Independent Meetings:** Conduct remaining studio group meetings this week. Check d2l for studio group instructions. If group members who are facilitating discussion want you to read text in advance, please make sure you have done so before you meet. (Make sure you also divvy up the articles for the jigsaw task for week 5.)

**Portfolio Task 3:**

**Multimedia:**  
Small Group Work: 1st Grade Shared Reading Using a Big Book with Foundational Skills [https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=R5w6639yit8](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=R5w6639yit8)  
Video 15: Phonemes linked to letters [https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6wjU03hjOvs](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6wjU03hjOvs)  
  
|  | a. **Effective word study indicators.** Look at the 10 indicators of effective instruction summarized on p. 201 of the Bear chapter. Pick the 4 indicators you believe are most important and explain why you believe they are the most fundamental.  
b. **Analyze lesson.** Locate an existing activity/lesson plan targeting phonics. Provide the link to the activity online, then analyze the activity using Bear’s 10 indicators. Which of these indicators of effective instruction are already written into the activity? Please explain in a sentence or so how the lesson addresses each indicator. Pick at least 2 indicators that are not currently in the lesson plan (prioritizing those you identified as most important above). Please specify how you could adapt or extend this lesson plan to integrate this indicator.  
c. **Fostering emergent literacy.** Use the readings and video for this week to explain in a paragraph how emergent literacy is fostered in ways that go beyond isolated “word study”. |
**Multimedia:**  
Guided Reading in First Grade. Retrieved from [https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LSn9z509xII](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LSn9z509xII) | Learning Task 1 due Feb. 1.  
**Portfolio Task 4:**  
a. *Analyze the video.* Consider where and how it reflects (or does not reflect) principles and practices from the readings this week.  
b. *Thinking about errors.* Pick *either* the readings from week 1 or from week 2 and discuss how the ideas in the Gabas article extend and/or challenge the ideas in that previous set of readings. Respond to the “dialogue” of ideas: what do *you* think? How do you reconcile or integrate or decide among the perspectives? |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week 5</th>
<th>Assessment, Grouping, and Foundational Skills</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Feb. 7</td>
<td>Everyone reads these:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Best practices in literacy assessment. In BPLI. (pp. 393-408).


**Jigsaw:** Divide and conquer! Each studio group will select one person from their group to read each article and report back to the group.

Kuhn, M., Rasinski, T., and Young, C. (2023). Best Practices in Fluency Instruction. In BPLI. (221-238)

Best practices in vocabulary instruction. In BPLI. (pp. 239-256).


**Portfolio Task 5:**

- **Summary handout.** Create a summary handout about your “best practices” method in the jigsaw text you chose. This summary handout need not be over a page long (though longer is fine) & should be shared electronically with your group members.

- **Attendance/Certification log.** Please submit a record of your attendance in the class, noting all absences and late arrivals to class. Include your 3 small-group meetings, with dates. For any absences/tardies, please indicate if you let the instructor know in advance as requested, and the reason for the absence (e.g. illness). Also complete the two certification statements about text generation software and citation of sources/resources. Use this format: [https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1Yev7c-dnwL5mR_CpqLD99auLuz4gsA1C-wBrRe51T1E/edit#gid=0](https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1Yev7c-dnwL5mR_CpqLD99auLuz4gsA1C-wBrRe51T1E/edit#gid=0)

- **Reflection.** A brief reflection on quality of your attendance, participation, focus, and effort. How would you characterize what you put into this portfolio and into this class? To what extent did you do the readings & assigned tasks on time? What might you have done differently? To what extent did you fully engage during class meetings and activities (versus multi-tasking or relying only on others to do the intellectual heavy lifting)? To what extent did you put in a level of effort that you are proud of?

- **Takeaways.** What are key “take-aways” for you from this class?

The compiled portfolio with tasks 1-5 (Learning Task 2) is due February 9th on d2l.

**Changes to Schedule:**

Please note that changes to the schedule may occur to meet the emerging needs and dynamics of the participants in the course.
LEARNING TASKS AND ASSESSMENT

There are 2 required Learning Tasks for this course. Each learning task will be assigned a grade as follows (percentage equivalents are drawn from the Werklund Grading Scale):

- Far Exceeds Expectations receives an A+, numerically translated to 95%
- Consistently Meets Expectations receives an A, numerically translated to 90%
- Mostly Meets Expectations receives an A-, numerically translated to 85%
- Approaches Expectations receives a B+, numerically translated to 80%
- Somewhat Approaches Expectations receives a B, numerically translated to 75%
- Sometimes Approaches Expectations receives B-, numerically translated to 70%
- Grades below B- do not approach expectations, but will be assigned with percentage equivalents following the same pattern depending on level of subject matter understanding

Your grade for the course will reflect the average of your two grades. In general, if your average falls between two grades, you will receive the grade closer to your grade for the individual assignment.

LEARNING TASK 1: Discussion Analysis Project (Group Task) - DUE: February 2

In this project, you will facilitate text discussions with your studio group and analyze these discussions together. During Weeks 2 and 3, your group members will take turns facilitating a dialogic discussion about a text. I recommend that half your group facilitate discussion during your first meeting, and the other half during your second meeting. (Your third group meeting will be to discuss and brainstorm ideas for the assignment itself.)

Prior to your discussion, you will have had the opportunity to think in a preliminary way about questions you could ask about the text you select as one of your portfolio tasks.

There are several parts to the project.

1) Each group member will complete the following during a studio group meeting during either Week 2 or Week 3:

   a) Text selection. The picturebook you select should lends itself to rich discussion (think ambiguity, big ideas, capacity to elicit different perspectives). Beyond that, your text choice is relatively open, so long as it could reasonably be considered a text that you might share with elementary-aged students. It should be something that It should be of manageable length to discuss in roughly 15 minutes. (You are welcome to discuss just an excerpt of a text.) Note that you may ask your group members to read/watch your text before your discussion about it, but if you do this, do make sure that they are not asked to do more than about 10 minutes worth of reading/watching, just so people don’t end up with unmanageable amounts of work. Less is often more.

   b) Preparation. Prior to the group meeting, decide if you want your group members already to have read the text before you facilitate their discussion of it, or if you want to “discuss as you go.” If you want them to read it in advance (this is recommended for stories with a lot of text, given time constraints), please send them a copy of the text (or a link to somewhere where they can read it or watch it being read) at least 48 hours before your discussion. (Group members, please be sure to read the text
before the discussion.) If not, make sure you have picked out which section of the text you want them to read together with you.

c) Discussion. Facilitate a discussion about your text in which the others in your studio group act as your students. You should strive to have your discussion be dialogic and focused on the text itself. (You should NOT make the facilitated discussion about how you would use this text for teaching, though that might come up in part d, the reflection on the discussion.)

You can choose whether your “students” in the group should respond as themselves or role play children of a particular age, depending on what you think would work better for your text. **Video record the discussion.** (I will not review all videos but would like to be able to refer to them if I have questions as I review your assignment. You will also need the videos/Zoom transcripts to review for your analysis.) You will have a list of questions prepared (even if you want your group members to respond as themselves rather than role play children, make sure you write questions that would also be ones you could ask of elementary school students reading this same text). Please note, though, that you are encouraged to ask any questions during your discussion, whether or not they are pre-planned, as long as you aim for rich questions that facilitate dialogic discussion. In fact, you are encouraged to use a lot of uptake, which can’t be pre-planned. Aim to have a discussion that lasts about 15 minutes and elicits the ideas and perspectives of all the “students” in your group. Assign a timekeeper who will let you know when time is up. You probably will not get through the whole text or discuss everything you planned in the allotted time; that is just fine! Don’t aim for closure or “finishing” – just try to sustain a rich discussion for the time you have.

d) Reflection. After the discussion facilitation, switch to collaborative reflection mode. Aim to discuss for about 25-30 minutes (again, have a timekeeper). Have group members reflect on the following questions and record notes on your responses. (The notes need not be polished or in complete sentences so long as there is enough information there to clearly understand the points made.) If you are doing this section well, you should have individualized responses that differ for each facilitated discussion. If needed, use the recording to review the discussion as you think about these questions:

- **When did teacher facilitation open up opportunities to illuminate students’ thinking – to the teacher, to other students, to the students themselves?** Where and how did the teacher open up opportunities for dialogue between students? You might especially consider uptake used by the teacher, and whether questions were authentic – but you might also find other things the teacher does that serve this purpose. Try to give specific examples of language.

- **Where are places where different moves on the part of the teacher might better open up opportunities for student thinking to become central?** Where might the teacher better open up opportunities for dialogue between students? Try to give specific examples of language.

2) **Analysis.** This analysis has 2 parts, a dialogue part and a written part:

a) After all group members have had the opportunity for #1, meet together to dialogue about a comparative analysis that looks across your different discussion sessions, drawing on course ideas and readings to inform your perspective. (This is your independent studio group meeting #3.) Take notes on your discussion (these don’t need to be turned in). Note that you will need to consult the video recordings and/or Zoom transcripts in order to discuss and develop your answers. Discuss each question listed below.
b) Flesh out your notes into a written analysis. Your analysis should be written out in full (unlike the notes for question 1) and should be approximately 3 single-spaced pages long (I will accept longer submissions, but please no more than 5 single-spaced pages!). In some cases, you will need to consult the video recordings in order to develop your answers. Answer the following questions, using specific examples that draw both on teacher language and “student” responses and referencing course ideas/readings/terminology. You should address the 3 subquestions for each main question in some way:

i.) What were commonalities and/or differences in the nature of the questions posed, and how did the nature of the questions shape the tenor of the conversations? Also consider:

- Were some questions more “text-expansive” and were some more “text-intensive”? How did these questions affect the discussion? Explain, using examples. If all of your questions were more one way or the other, consider what a facilitator could do to steer the conversation in the other direction.

- Were there some questions that evoked substantively different opinions from different group members? What was it about these questions that might have made that happen? How did it affect what happened next in the discussion?

- Were some there some questions that felt easier or harder to answer? More or less authentic? How did that affect what happened next in the discussion?

ii.) What were commonalities and/or differences in the ways different group members used uptake? Focus your attention not so much on how much uptake was used, although you can mention this if you would like, but rather on similarities and differences in how and when different facilitators used uptake. Use specific examples, quoting the exact language. Also consider:

- How did uptake affect the quality of the conversations? Explain, using examples.

- Did some uptake request evidence or information from the text? How did this uptake function in comparison with other requests for elaboration of ideas? If this did not happen, how and when did evidence from the text get introduced into the conversation, if at all?

- Was some uptake especially generative or less generative? Explain why you think so. What might account for that?

iii.) Beyond the nature of the questions and uptake, what were other commonalities and/or differences in how style or approach of different facilitators affected the discussion and the participants? Don’t focus here on “better” or “worse” but rather on the qualitative nature of the discussion. Also consider:

- How did the facilitator communicate interest/enthusiasm in student ideas? Was this possible without evaluating ideas as “right” or “wrong” or “better” or “worse”? Explain.
• Were there differences in mood (e.g., contemplative, giggly, etc.) – what might account for those differences?

• Did different discussions have more or less equal participation from group members? What do you think accounted for this? How, if at all, did different facilitators work to “draw out” the ideas of group members that were less vocal? How did these efforts work? What else could be done?

iv) What, if anything, made these conversations dialogic? What are additional factors to consider in thinking about orchestrating rich dialogic discussion? Also consider:

• Different texts and text genres can contribute to different dynamics. Think about how the different texts and genres you used had different challenges and opportunities for dialogic text discussion in the humanities.

• Different groups have different dynamics. Think about some of the characteristics of your group that might not apply to a student group you might teach in a school. What might be modifications or changes in what would best generate rich discussion for a group with different dynamics?

• Different group sizes have different dynamics. How might the discussion approaches you used for these text discussions be adapted for a whole-class discussion?

3) Self-assessments. After completing step 2, each group participant will write and attach a short summary (4-5 sentences) that self-assess the following in terms of effort and follow-through:

a) attendance (and efforts to make up any work missed, if applicable);
b) level of preparation (completed readings/portfolio tasks in advance, read other group partipants’ texts in advance if applicable, etc.);
c) participation in discussion and reflection work (as facilitators and as group participants);
d) participation in a separate, sustained group discussion to prepare for writing the analysis;
e) participation in the writing of the analysis.

WHAT YOU ACTUALLY TURN IN:

A. Links (with any needed passwords to open) of each discussion from each group member.

B. Informal reflection notes from each discussion reflection (can be in note form, but should be intelligible to someone who was not present)

C. Answers to all “analysis” questions and subquestions.

D. Self-assessments for all group members.

(see next page for assessment criteria for this assignment)
LENELL SCHOOL OF EDUCATION
UNDERGRADUATE PROGRAMS IN EDUCATION

CRITERIA FOR ASSESSMENT OF LEARNING TASK 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Engagement with course concepts and readings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inclusion of specific discourse terms and terminology, and accurate use of these</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of specific quotes/language that illustrate your points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inclusion of examples that link what the facilitators did and how the “students” responded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substantive ideas that synthesize and extend course concepts in creative ways</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sufficient detail and elaboration included for me to understand the examples provided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completeness (notes and links included, all questions and subquestions addressed, self-assessments included)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarity, editing and Organization (everything included, adheres to length requirements, well-organized, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your personal contribution based on your self-assessment (may be different for different group members)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

An A grade will meet expectations (that is, receive full credit) in all or nearly all of these areas.

2. LEARNING TASK 2: Structured Reflection Portfolio – DUE: February 9

Each week, you will complete an entry in your structured reflection portfolio that responds to the week’s prompts (listed below). Generally, the entries for each week (which typically involve at least two subtasks) should average about 1 page single-spaced in length.

Portfolio Task 1:

a. Meeting children where they are as readers sketch/visual. In light of this week’s readings, come up with a drawing or visual of your own that you believe encapsulates teaching reading in a way that meets children where they are. Your sketch could be more metaphorical, theoretical, or practical—as you wish! Be creative: do not simply replicate any of the visual materials in the readings.

b. Explanation of sketch/visual. Explain and elaborate on your drawing/visual in two paragraphs. Make sure you refer explicitly to each of the 3 readings in some substantive way.

Portfolio Task 2:

a. Applying Dialogic Pedagogy Values. Pick two of the 4 dialogic pedagogy values. Explain how they are manifest in the instruction in the “Wiggle Room” reading and the “Picture Book Philosophy” video.

b. Plan a picturebook discussion. Pick a children’s book you could use to facilitate discussion. Write a series of 5 authentic questions you could ask about that book that would facilitate meaningful engagement and discussion. Make sure they are questions you could also ask of students! Include text-intensive and text-expansive questions, and mark which are which. Bring your book and questions to your group meeting and be prepared to lead a 15-minute discussion with others about your book that uses both authentic questions and uptake. Make sure you record when you facilitate the discussion with your group.

Portfolio Task 3:

a. Effective word study indicators. Look at the 10 indicators of effective instruction listed on p. 201 of the Bear chapter. Pick the 4 indicators you believe are most important and explain why you believe they are the most fundamental.
b. **Analyze lesson.** Locate an existing activity/lesson plan targeting phonics. Provide the link to the activity online, then analyze the activity using Bear’s 10 indicators. Which of these indicators of effective instruction are already written into the activity? Please explain in a sentence or so how the lesson addresses each indicator. Pick at least 2 indicators that are not currently in the lesson plan (prioritizing those you identified as most important above). Please specify how you could adapt or extend this lesson plan to integrate this indicator.

c. **Fostering emergent literacy.** Use other readings and video for this week to explain in a paragraph how emergent literacy is fostered in ways that go beyond isolated “word study”.

**Portfolio Task 4:**

a.) **Analyze the video.** Consider where and how it reflects (or does not reflect) principles and practices from the other readings this week.

b.) **Thinking about errors.** Pick either the readings from week 1 or from week 2 and discuss how the ideas in the Gabas article extend and/or challenge the ideas in that previous set of readings. Respond to the “dialogue” of ideas: what do you think? How do you reconcile or integrate or decide among the perspectives?

**Portfolio Task 5:**

a. **Summary handout.** Create a summary handout about your “best practices” method in the jigsaw text you chose. This summary handout need not be over a page long (though longer is fine) & should be shared electronically with your group members.

b. **Attendance/Certification log.** Please submit a record of your attendance in the class, noting all absences and late arrivals to class. Include your 3 small-group meetings, with dates. For any absences/tardies, please indicate if you let the instructor know in advance as requested, and the reason for the absence (e.g. illness). Also complete the two certification statements about text generation software and citation of sources/resources. Use this format: https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1Yev7c-dnwLD5mR_CpqLD99auLuz4gsA1C-wBrRe51TIE/edit#gid=0

c. **Reflection.** A brief reflection on quality of your attendance, participation, focus, and effort. How would you characterize what you put into this portfolio and into this class? To what extent did you do the readings & assigned tasks on time? What might you have done differently? To what extent did you fully engage during class meetings and activities (versus multi-tasking or relying only on others to do the intellectual heavy lifting)? To what extent did you put in a level of effort that you are proud of?

d. **Takeaways.** What are key “take-aways” for you from this class?

(SEE NEXT PAGE)
CRITERIA FOR ASSESSMENT OF LEARNING TASK 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accurate use of course concepts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engagement with course readings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substance and quality of entries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completeness, editing, organization (everything included, adheres to length requirements, well-organized, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall quality in light of self-assessment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

An A grade will meet expectations (that is, receive full credit) in all or nearly all of these areas.

THE EXPECTATION OF EXCELLENCE IN PROFESSIONAL WORK

Please review the Academic Calendar carefully. It describes the program and provides detailed schedules and important dates. It contains information on expectations for student work and professional conduct. In addition, procedures are described regarding concern about student performance in the program. Please pay especially careful attention to details and descriptions in the following topic areas:

- **The Importance of Attendance and Participation in Every Class**

As this is a professional program, experiences are designed with the expectation that all members will be fully involved in all classes and in all coursework experiences. As you are a member of a learning community your contribution is vital and highly valued, just as it will be when you take on the professional responsibilities of being a teacher. If you need to miss class in instances of personal or family illness or for religious requirements, please let me know in advance and make arrangements to make up what you missed. If other special circumstances apply, let’s talk. Trouble getting child care? Bring your child along to class – we will figure it out.

- **Engagement in Class Discussion and Inquiry**

Another reason for the importance of attendance and participation in every class is that the course involves working with fellow students to share ideas and thinking. For example, each class you will work with a small group to engage fellow students in discussions on work being considered in class.

EXPECTATIONS FOR WRITING

All written assignments (including, to a lesser extent, written exam responses) will be assessed at least partly on writing skills. Writing skills include not only surface correctness (grammar, punctuation, sentence structure, etc.) but also general clarity and organization. Sources used in research papers must be properly documented. If you need help with your writing, you may use the writing support services in the Learning Commons. For further information, please refer to the official online University of Calgary Calendar, Academic Regulations, E. Course Information, E.2: Writing Across the Curriculum: [http://www.ucalgary.ca/pubs/calendar/current/e-2.html](http://www.ucalgary.ca/pubs/calendar/current/e-2.html)

Please note that all assignments are expected to be the original work of the student and students are not to employ text generation software (for example, ChatGPT). You will be asked to sign a certification statement attesting that you did not use text generation software for this course; see me if you have questions about what this means.
LATE AND MISSING SUBMISSIONS

All components of the course must be completed in order to receive a passing grade. It is usually in your best interest to complete all assignments on time. If you do need more time, touch base with me so we can figure out an arrangement that works prior to the due date. All late submissions of assignments must be discussed with the instructor prior to the due date.

Per university guidelines, there is less flexibility for late submissions after the end of term. Students may be required to provide written documentation of extenuating circumstances (e.g. statutory declaration, doctor’s note, note from the University of Calgary Wellness Centre, obituary notice). A deferral of up to 30 days may be granted at the discretion of the Associate Dean of Undergraduate Programs prior to the end of the course with accompanying written evidence. Unexcused late submissions may receive a lower grade.

ISSUES WITH GROUP TASKS

During group work, if your group is having difficulty collaborating effectively or if someone does not seem to be pulling their weight, please speak gently but directly to the other members in your group to work out a plan to address the concerns. If you are unable to work out an arrangement in this way, please let me know as soon as possible so I can brainstorm and troubleshoot with the group. If a group is still unable to collaborate effectively or discuss course activities in a timely manner, I may re-assign members to different groups, assign individual work for completion, or work out another alternative.

GRADING

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>GPA Value</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Description per U of C Calendar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A+</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>95-100</td>
<td>Outstanding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>90-94</td>
<td>Excellent – Superior performance showing comprehensive understanding of the subject matter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>85-89</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B+</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>80-84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>75-79</td>
<td>Good - clearly above average performance with knowledge of subject matter generally complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>70-74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C+</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>65-69</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>60-64</td>
<td>Satisfactory - basic understanding of the subject matter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>55-59</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D+</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>52-54</td>
<td>Minimal pass - Marginal performance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>50-51</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>49 and lower</td>
<td>Fail - Unsatisfactory performance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Students in the B.Ed. program must have an overall GPA of 2.5 in the semester to continue in the program without repeating courses.
Academic Accommodation
It is the student’s responsibility to request academic accommodations according to the University policies and procedures listed below. The student accommodation policy can be found at: [https://www.ucalgary.ca/legal-services/sites/default/files/teams/1/Policies-Student-Accommodation-Policy.pdf](https://www.ucalgary.ca/legal-services/sites/default/files/teams/1/Policies-Student-Accommodation-Policy.pdf). Students needing an accommodation because of a disability or medical condition should communicate this need to Student Accessibility Services in accordance with the Procedure for Accommodations for Students with Disabilities: [ucalgary.ca/legal-services/sites/default/files/teams/1/Policies-Accommodation-for-Students-with-Disabilities-Procedure.pdf](https://www.ucalgary.ca/legal-services/sites/default/files/teams/1/Policies-Accommodation-for-Students-with-Disabilities-Procedure.pdf). Students needing an accommodation in relation to their coursework based on a Protected Ground other than Disability, should communicate this need, preferably in writing, to their Instructor.

Academic Misconduct
For information on academic misconduct and its consequences, please see the University of Calgary Calendar at [http://www.ucalgary.ca/pubs/calendar/current/k.html](http://www.ucalgary.ca/pubs/calendar/current/k.html)

Attendance/ Prolonged Absence
Students may be asked to provide supporting documentation for an exemption/special request. This may include, but is not limited to, a prolonged absence from a course where participation is required, a missed course assessment, a deferred examination, or an appeal. Students are encouraged to submit documentation that will support their situation. Supporting documentation may be dependent on the reason noted in their personal statement/explanation provided to explain their situation. This could be medical certificate/documentation, references, police reports, invitation letter, third party letter of support or a statutory declaration etc. The decision to provide supporting documentation that best suits the situation is at the discretion of the student.

Falsification of any supporting documentation will be taken very seriously and may result in disciplinary action through the Academic Discipline regulations or the Student Non-Academic Misconduct policy. [https://www.ucalgary.ca/pubs/calendar/current/n-1.html](https://www.ucalgary.ca/pubs/calendar/current/n-1.html)

The Freedom of Information Protection of Privacy Act prevents instructors from placing assignments or examinations in a public place for pickup and prevents students from access to exams or assignments other than their own. Therefore, students and instructors may use one of the following options: return/collect assignments during class time or during instructors’ office hours, students provide instructors with a self-addressed stamped envelope, or submit/return assignments as electronic files attached to private e-mail messages.

For additional resources including, but not limited to, those aimed at wellness and mental health, student success or to connect with the Student Ombuds Office, please visit [https://www.ucalgary.ca/registrar/registration/course-outlines](https://www.ucalgary.ca/registrar/registration/course-outlines)

Education Students Association (ESA) President for the academic year is Claire Gillis, [esa@ucalgary.ca](mailto:esa@ucalgary.ca).

Werklund SU Representative is Elsa Stokes, [educrep@su.ucalgary.ca](mailto:educrep@su.ucalgary.ca).